

Document made available under the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT)

International application number: PCT/GB04/005427

International filing date: 23 December 2004 (23.12.2004)

Document type: Certified copy of priority document

Document details: Country/Office: GB
Number: 0400409.9
Filing date: 09 January 2004 (09.01.2004)

Date of receipt at the International Bureau: 24 January 2005 (24.01.2005)

Remark: Priority document submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b)



World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) - Geneva, Switzerland
Organisation Mondiale de la Propriété Intellectuelle (OMPI) - Genève, Suisse



PCT/GB 2004 / 0 0 5 4 2 7



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE


The Patent Office
Concept House
Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP10 8QQ

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.



Signed

He Behen

Dated

14 January 2005



Patents Form 1/77

Patents Act 1977
(Rule 16)THE PATENT OFFICE
PO

- 9 JAN 2004

The
Patent
Office09JAN04 E864234-1 002831
P01/7700 0.00-0400409.9 ACCOUNT CHA**Request for grant of a patent***(See the notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form)*

The Patent Office

Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP9 1RH

- 9 JAN 2004

1. Your reference

57.0589 GB NP

2. Patent application number

(The Patent Office will fill in this part)

0400409.9

3. Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant *(underline all surnames)*

WesternGeco Limited

Schlumberger House
Buckingham Gate
GatwickPatents ADP number *(if you know it)*

West Sussex RH6 0NZ

If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation

United Kingdom
United Kingdom

8168781001

4. Title of the invention

SEISMIC ACQUISITION AND FILTERING

5. Name of your agent *(if you have one)*"Address for service" in the United Kingdom
to which all correspondence should be sent
*(including the postcode)*Akram K MIRZA
Schlumberger Cambridge Research Limited
High Cross
Maddingley Road
Cambridge CB3 0EL
UKPatents ADP number *(if you know it)*

4433504002

6. If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and *(if you know it)* the or each application number

Country

Priority application number
*(if you know it)*Date of filing
(day / month / year)

7. If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier application

Number of earlier application

Date of filing
*(day / month / year)*8. Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? *(Answer 'Yes' if*

YES

a) *any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or*
b) *there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or*c) *any named applicant is a corporate body.*
See note (d))

Patents Form 1/77

Patents Form 1/77

9. Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form. Do not count copies of the same document

Continuation sheets of this form

Description 15

Claim(s) 3

Abstract 1

Drawing(s) 7

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item.

Priority documents -

Translations of priority documents -

Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent (Patents Form 7/77) -

Request for preliminary examination and search (Patents Form 9/77) 1

Request for substantive examination (Patents Form 10/77) 1

Any other documents -
(please specify)

11. I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

Signature

Date

9 January 2004

12. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom Akram K MIRZA,
01223 325268

Warning

After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.

Notes

- If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 0645 500505.
- Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.
- If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.
- If you have answered 'Yes' Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.
- Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.
- For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patent Office.

Patents Form 1/77

SEISMIC ACQUISITION AND FILTERING

DUPLICATE

The present invention generally relates to apparatus and methods for acquiring seismic signal and filtering such data. More particularly, it is directed to a method of acquiring and processing single sensor recordings, specifically to derive filters to attenuate the noise in the thus acquired seismic data.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Seismic data is collected to analyze the subsurface of the Earth, and is particularly collected in connection with hydrocarbon exploration and production activities. Seismic data for analyzing subsurface structures may be collected on land or over water. In order to obtain seismic data, an acoustic source is used which typically consists of explosives or a seismic vibrator on land or an impulse of compressed air at sea. The seismic signals reflected by the various geologic layers beneath the surface of the Earth are known as traces and are sensed by a large number, typically hundreds or thousands, of sensors such as geophones on land and hydrophones at sea. The reflected signals are recorded and the results are analyzed to derive an indication of the geology in the subsurface. Such indications may then be used to assess the likelihood and location of potential hydrocarbon deposits.

Seismic surveys are generally conducted using one or more receiver lines having a plurality of receiver station locations spaced evenly along their lengths. In a two dimensional (2D) survey, a single receiver line is used and the acoustic source is typically positioned at various

points in-line with the receiver line. In a three dimensional survey, a plurality of parallel receiver lines are typically used and the acoustic source is generally positioned at various points offset from the receiver lines.

5 While a 2D seismic survey can only create a cross-sectional representation of the subsurface, a 3D seismic survey can be used to develop a three dimensional representation of the subsurface.

10 Seismic data are subject to a wide variety of noise related problems that can and do limit its usefulness. Broadly speaking, noise found in seismic traces is either incoherent or coherent. Incoherent ambient noise, or uncorrelated "white" noise, is ubiquitous and is generally greatly
15 attenuated through the simple expedient of stacking, although extremely large individual data values ("spikes") and "bad" traces often need special attention. Coherent, or correlated, noise on the other hand cannot usually be so readily eliminated. Some common examples of coherent noise
20 include multiple reflections, ground roll, air waves, guided waves, sideswipe, cable noise and 60 hertz power line noise. Among the many known approaches to attenuating noise, there are space-time based or transform based methods. Space-time based methods operate on the time series of the acquired
25 data. Transform based methods operate on data transformed from the space-time domain into another domain using a suitable transformation. The most popular of the 2-D transform methods is the 2-D Fourier transform (or "f-k" transform). Seismic data containing noise are transformed to
30 the alternative domain where noise events are more compactly represented. If the noise energy can be located and isolated in the transform domain, it is removed from the transformed

data by filtering or muting, i.e. by setting the values in the region corresponding to the noise energy equal to zero or some other minimal value. Finally, the transformed data, without the noise energy, are then inverse transformed to
5 return them to the time and offset (i.e., untransformed or "x-t") domain.

In conventional seismic data acquisition systems data are inherently filtered through use of "hard-wired"
10 (electrically connected) groups of sensors. A group or receiver array delivers a single output trace (the normalized sum or arithmetic average of the output of all individual sensors of the group) at the particular receiver station location about which the sensors are placed. The
15 single trace is the normalized sum or arithmetic average of the output of all individual sensors making up the group. Without further processing, such a two-dimensional group has a spectral response that can be approximated by a frequency-independent 2D sinc function in the wavenumber or k_x - k_y
20 domain.

More recently, however, seismic surveys have been performed using single or point receiver arrays. Such surveys offer the potential of recording the output of individual sensors
25 or receivers and the inherent filtering effect of the hard-wired group can be replaced by filters that are better adapted to the nature of seismic noise and preserve more of the seismic reflection signals.

30 It is therefore an object of the present invention to provide methods for processing seismic data, particularly methods for designing and applying filters for such data.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The invention includes a method of determining a digital filter for seismic signals comprising the steps of: defining
5 constraints representing a filter for preserving signals representing reflection and/or refractions from sub-surface structure and suppressing noise signals in seismic signals; and using an iterative process with each iteration comprising: transforming a filter obtained from a previous
10 iteration into a transform domain; applying in said transform domain first constraints; inverse transforming the filter with the applied constraints into a sample domain; and applying in said sample domain second constraints to obtain an iterated filter.

15

The term sample domain and transform domain are arbitrary in the sense that both domain are representations of the seismic signals using a different set of coordinates. The transform describes the change from one domain to the other and the
20 inverse transform describes the reverse direction.

Preferably the transform is between the wavenumber or frequency-wavenumber domain and the spatial or temporal-spatial domain. This transform can be performed by the well-known Fourier transform.

25

In a preferred embodiment the filter is constrained to a predefined tolerance in one domain and to a predefined response outside a finite region in the other. The predefined response is in most case very small or zero to
30 suppress the signal outside the finite region.

The method is preferable applied using a non-rectangular transform which can be described as staggered or hexagonal, even though the hexagonal case is only a special case of the general staggered transform used.

5

Application of this staggered transform yields superior results when applied to data acquired on a staggered grid. It is therefore another aspect of the invention to use groups of receivers or single sensor seismic receivers distributed to obtain seismic measurements on a staggered or hexagonal grid. A staggered grid can be characterised by having non-diagonal elements in the matrix that translates the grid points onto themselves. In a more practical definition a staggered grid can be seen as an array of points in which every second rows of of points are shifted with respect to the remaining rows or as two overlapping and shifted rectangular grids.

The filter of the present inventions are preferably is a zero-phase finite impulse response (FIR) filter. They have at least at least two dimensions, but are preferably full three-dimensional (3D) filters.

These and other aspects of the invention will be apparent from the following detailed description of non-limitative examples and drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIGs. 1A-D show a desired ideal wavenumber (k_x - k_y) response of a 2D x-y filter in three different cross-sections;

30

FIG. 2 illustrates steps to design a filter in accordance with an example of the invention;

Fig. 3 shows the wavenumber (k_x - k_y) response of a 2D x-y
5 filter designed in accordance to the method of FIG. 2 using
a rectangular discrete fast Fourier transform;

Fig. 4 shows the wavenumber (k_x - k_y) response of a 2D x-y
filter designed in accordance to the method of FIG. 2 using
10 a hexagonal discrete fast Fourier transform; and

FIGs. 5A,B compare single receiver data sets obtained by
processing in accordance with an example of the present
invention (FIG. 5B) with a data set obtained with
15 conventional hard-wired groups and conventional noise
filtering (FIG. 5A) after differential moveout (DMO)
stacking.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

20 A filter in accordance with the present invention is
designed to remove at least part of the noise from the data
acquired through a seismic survey. The known noise
characteristics and seismic signal spectrum depend on the
various parameter such as source and receiver locations, the
25 acoustic properties of near surface layers and many other
parameters within or outside the control of an operator. Any
such pre-established knowledge of the noise characteristics
can be used to establish a set of filter parameters that
when combined provide a description of the filter suitable
30 to be used as an input to a data processing machine or
computer.

The example of FIG. 1 shows the ideal wavenumber response of a 2-D filter. Two schematic perpendicular cross-sections are shown in FIG. 1A illustrating parameter for a functional description of the design in the wavenumber or $(f - kx - ky)$ domain. FIG. 1B shows the $f - kx$ cross-section for $ky = 0$, FIG. 1C shows the middle panel shows the $f - ky$ cross-section for $kx = 0$, and FIG. 1D shows the $kx - ky$ cross-section at $f = 10$ Hz.

10 In the 2-D survey of this example, most of the reflection energy is expected to be incident in, or near the in-line plane, whereas most of the energy incident in the cross-line direction should be scattered ground-roll and other types of noise. The passband of the initial 2-D $x - y$ filter is
15 therefore designed with elliptical contours, with greater attenuation in the cross-line direction. The larger passband in the in-line direction is designed to preserve signal components with maximum wavenumber and frequency content.

20 The filter of FIG. 1 is characterized by three frequency bands separated by the frequencies f_{break1} and f_{break2} . Within each frequency band there is defined a pass zone (the central zone), a transition zone and an outer reject or stop zone. The boundaries of the zone are marked by piecewise
25 linear function defined through the slowness parameters $s1$ to $s6$.

Further parameters characterizing the filter of the example is the aspect ratio of the elliptical pass zone and the
30 desired attenuation in the stop band and the width of the transition zone.

Other parameter define the dimensions (length, width) of the filter or the filter support for a finite impulse response filter (FIR) as used in this example.

- 5 Filters can be characterized by parameters others than those described above. But independent of the specific form of representation, it is always possible to define a representation of a desired filter in machine readable form.
- 10 Each of the parameters of such an ideal filter can be regarded as constraints in the time domain and in the frequency domain and the problem of designing a real filter is an approximation optimized with those constraints.
- 15 It is known that if the constraints define convex sets in the set of square summable sequences, then applying alternating orthogonal projections onto these would converge to the optimal solution. This is called the method of Alternating Projections onto Convex Sets (APOCS). The APOCS
- 20 method has been used in various technical fields outside seismic processing. For details of the APOCS method reference is made to Çetin et al. (IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, vol. 14 (1997), pp.60-64 or Proc. Int. Symp. Circuits and Systems, 1987, pp. 1044-1047) who adapted the
- 25 APOCS method to the design of zero-phase 2-D finite impulse response (FIR) filters.

- 30 In the APOCS method the filter design problem is formulated to alternately satisfy the frequency domain constraints on the magnitude response bounds and sample (space and/or time) domain constraints on the impulse response support. The

algorithm is iterative and each iteration requires two 2-D FFT computations. Cetin et al. (see above) have shown that if convergence is achieved, this approach results in filters with approximately equiripple response.

5

It can be proven that the APOCS method is globally convergent under certain conditions. If the constraints in the sample and spectral domains define convex sets in the set of square summable sequences, then the imposition of the constraints in the sample and spectral domains are the orthogonal projections onto these sets, and if the sets intersect, the iterates converge to a member in the intersection set. Furthermore, if there is just one sequence satisfying both conditions, then this sequence is the equiripple solution of the filter design problem. Consequently, the iterations converge to the equiripple filter.

Generalizing to the design of a 3-D filter, the filter is to be zero-phase, and its spectral response in the f - k_x - k_y domain, $H(f, k_x, k_y)$ to be within given tolerance ranges:

$$[1] \quad \begin{aligned} H_{id}(f, k_x, k_y) - E_d(f, k_x, k_y) &\leq H_{id}(f, k_x, k_y) \\ &\leq H_{id}(f, k_x, k_y) + E_d(f, k_x, k_y), \end{aligned}$$

where $H_{id}(f, k_x, k_y)$ is the ideal filter response, and $E_d(f, k_x, k_y)$ is the tolerance, or the desired maximum ripple level, which may take different values in different passbands and stopbands. The ideal response is defined as

$$[2] \quad H_{id}(f, k_x, k_y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (f, k_x, k_y) \in F_p, \\ 0, & \text{if } (f, k_x, k_y) \in F_s, \end{cases}$$

and the tolerances as

$$[3] \quad E_d(f, k_x, k_y) = \begin{cases} \delta_P, & \text{if } (f, k_x, k_y) \in F_P, \\ \delta_S, & \text{if } (f, k_x, k_y) \in F_S, \end{cases}$$

5

where F_P and F_S are the pass and stop bands, and δ_P and δ_S are the corresponding tolerances, respectively.

10 In the t - x - y domain, the filter has a finite-extent support, I , which is a symmetric region around the origin in order to have a zero-phase response. The time-space domain constraint requires that the filter coefficients are zero outside the region I .

15 The iterative method is initialized with an arbitrary finite-extent, real 3-D sequence that is symmetric around the origin in order to have a zero-phase response. The time/space domain constraint requires that the filter coefficients must be equal to zero outside the region I . As
20 an initial estimate, the inverse Fourier Transform (F^{-1}) of the ideal frequency response is used:

$$[4] \quad h_{id}(t, x, y) = F^{-1} \{H_{id}(f, k_x, k_y)\}$$

25 with

$$[5] \quad h_0(t, x, y) = \begin{cases} h_{id}(t, x, y), & \text{if } (t, x, y) \in I, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

FIG. 2 illustrated the iterative process beginning with the
30 selection of an initial time domain response h_{id} .

At each iteration of the algorithm, the time/space and frequency/wavenumber domain constraints are successively imposed onto the current iterate. The n -th iteration

5 consists of the following steps:

- Compute the 3D Fourier Transform of the n -th iterate $h_n(t, x, y)$ by a 3D FFT algorithm to obtain $H_n(f, k_x, k_y)$.
- Impose the frequency/wavenumber domain constraints as:

$$G_n(f, k_x, k_y) = \begin{cases} H_{id}(f, k_x, k_y) + E_d(f, k_x, k_y) \\ \text{if } H_n(f, k_x, k_y) > H_{id}(f, k_x, k_y) + E_d(f, k_x, k_y) \\ H_{id}(f, k_x, k_y) - E_d(f, k_x, k_y) \\ \text{if } H_n(f, k_x, k_y) < H_{id}(f, k_x, k_y) + E_d(f, k_x, k_y) \\ H_n(f, k_x, k_y) \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

10 [6]

- Compute the 3-D inverse Fourier Transform of $G_n(f, k_x, k_y)$ to obtain $g_n(t, x, y)$.
- equal $g_n(t, x, y)$ to zero outside the region I to obtain $h_{n+1}(t, x, y)$ as

15

$$[7] \quad h_{n+1}(t, x, y) = \begin{cases} g_n(t, x, y) & \text{if } (t, x, y) \in I, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- If the mean-squared error between the iterates $h_n(t, x, y)$ and $h_{n+1}(t, x, y)$ is less than a predefined threshold, then exit.

20

These steps are performed using a standard numerical programme such as Matlab(TM) and the routines embedded therein.

- 5 The method outlined above can be directly applied to seismic data acquired on a rectangular grid by using a 2D rectangular fast Fourier transformation (FFT) that samples the data at rectangularly distributed points.
- 10 However, the advent of single sensor recording makes it more feasible to acquire seismic data on a staggered layout of receivers. It is known that circularly bandlimited signals require 13 percent less samples to achieve the same spectral resolution when sampled on a staggered grid than being
- 15 sampled on a rectangular grid. Since seismic signals and noise can be assumed to be circularly bandlimited in the wavenumber space, hexagonal sampling is more efficient than rectangular sampling potentially reducing the number of receivers in a survey by 13 percent.
- 20 It is therefore advantageous to adapt the present method to accommodate surveys performed using a hexagonal distribution of receivers or groups of receivers.
- 25 It is feasible to apply the above method to data acquired on a staggered or hexagonal grid by using the following steps:
- spatial oversampling;
 - performance of a rectangular FFT;
 - imposing spectral constraints in the wavenumber domain;
 - 30 - using a rectangular inverse Fourier transform to revert to the time domain; and

- imposing zeros on points that do not correspond to receiver locations.

FIG. 3 shows the resulting response of a 2D filter with dB
5 contour levels for a hexagonal receiver grid using a rectangular FFT as outlined in the previous paragraph. As in FIG. 1, the top panel shows the $f - k_x$ cross-section for $k_y = 0$, the middle panel shows the $f - k_y$ cross-section for $k_x = 0$, and the bottom panel shows the $k_x - k_y$ cross-section at
10 $f = 10$ Hz. Comparison with FIG. 1 shows that signals from outside the desired region (sidelobes) leak into the filtered data.

This is a consequence of a mismatch between the true
15 receiver locations which for this example were placed on a hexagonal grid and the rectangular DFT used for the iterative process. The method introduces holes into the sample domain, which is no longer convex. Convergence is then no longer guaranteed and the above filter design
20 method is not expected to give optimum results.

It was found that the performance of the filter design method can be significantly improved by using a Fourier
transform with hexagonal sampling in the space (x-y) domain
25 when the data is acquired on a hexagonal grid.

A discrete Fourier transform (DFT) that relates a hexagonal sampled signal to hexagonal sample of its Fourier transform is known as such and is given for example by

30

[8]

$$X(k_1, k_2) = \sum_{n_1=0}^{3N-1} \sum_{n_2=0}^{N-1} x(n_1, n_2) \exp \left[-j \frac{2\pi}{3N} \{ (2n_1 - n_2)k_1 + 3n_2k_2 \} \right]$$

- 5 For this and further details of the hexagonal DFT reference can be made for example to R. M. Mersereau and D. E Dudgeon in "Multidimensional Digital Signal Processing", Prentice-Hall 1984, 97-100.
- 10 FIG. 4 shows the response resulting from the use of a hexagonal DTF of the 2D filter with dB contour levels. As in FIG. 1, the top panel shows the $f - k_x$ cross-section for $k_y = 0$, the middle panel shows the $f - k_y$ cross-section for $k_x = 0$, and the bottom panel shows the $k_x - k_y$ cross-section at
- 15 $f = 10$ Hz. Comparison with FIG. 1 shows a very good match between the desired and the resulting responses, and the sidelobe levels of the stop band are less than 35 dB compared to the higher sidelobes apparent in FIG. 3.
- 20 It was found that the filter design results in improved data processing, particularly when used on seismic data acquired through single sensor surveys. To illustrate the improvement the same data set was acquired using (a) conventional groups of receivers, (b) single sensors and (c) a very low density
- 25 of single sensors. After filtering the data was further processed using a stacking procedure with differential move-out (DMO) correction.
- FIG. 5A shows the DMO stack obtained using conventional
- 30 filtering methods on signals from analogue groups of 72 receivers per 30 metres, while FIG. 5B depicts the DMO stack

obtained after processing by an adaptive pre-filter and an
2D filter designed through the iterative process described
above (FIG. 2), using 36 receivers per 30 metres. Compared
to the analogue result, FIG. 5B demonstrates considerable
5 increase in S/N, especially for higher CMP's.

CLAIMS

1. Method of determining a digital filter for seismic signals comprising the steps of:
 - 5 defining constraints representing a filter for preserving signals representing reflection and/or refractions from sub-surface structure and suppressing noise signals in seismic signals; and
 - using an iterative process with each iteration further
 - 10 comprising the steps of:
 - transforming a filter obtained from a previous iteration into a transform domain;
 - applying in said transform domain first constraints;
 - inverse transforming the filter with the applied
 - 15 constraints into a sample domain; and
 - applying in said sample domain second constraints to obtain an iterated filter.
2. The method of claim 1 wherein each step of the
- 20 iterative process includes the transform of the filter (coefficients) into the wavenumber or frequency-wavenumber domain and the inverse transform back into the spatial or temporal-spatial domain.
- 25 3. The method of claim 2 wherein in each step of the iterative process the filter is constrained to a predefined tolerance in the wavenumber or frequency-wavenumber domain.
4. The method of claim 2 wherein in each step of the
- 30 iterative process the filter is constrained to a predefined response outside a finite region in the spatial or temporal-spatial domain.

5. The method of claim 2 wherein in each step of the iterative process the filter is constrained to a predefined response outside a finite region in the spatial or temporal-
5 spatial domain and in each step of the iterative process the filter is constrained to a predefined tolerance in the wavenumber or frequency-wavenumber domain.
6. The method of claim 1 wherein the filter is
10 obtained by applying alternating projection onto constraints defining convex sets of square summable sequences .
7. The method of claim 1 wherein the transform sampling/periodicity matrix of the transform in Cartesian
15 coordinates is non-diagonal.
8. The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of distributing groups of receivers or single sensor seismic receivers so as to obtain seismic measurements on a
20 staggered or hexagonal grid.
9. The method of claim 8 wherein the step of transforming comprises the use of a spatially staggered or hexagonal transformation.
25
10. The method of claim 9 wherein the step of transforming the signals comprises the use of a spatially staggered or hexagonal Fourier transformation.
- 30 11. The method of claim 1 wherein the filter is a zero-phase finite impulse response (FIR) filter.

12. The method of claim 1 wherein the filter has at least two dimensions.

13. The method of claim 1 wherein the filter is a 3D
5 filter.

ABSTRACT


An iterative process is described for obtaining a finite impulse filter to remove noise from seismic data through an
5 iterative process with constraints on the filter applied in both, the original space-time and the transform frequency-wavenumber at each iteration step.



0589

1/7

FIG. 1A





0589

2/7

FIG. 1B




FIG. 1C





FIG. 1D





0589

3/7





FIG. 2



0589

4 / 7





0589

5/7




FIG. 4



570589

6/7




FIG. 5A



0589

7/7




FIG. 5B

